The Influence of Landscape Setting and Duration of Inundation on Oyster Reef Growth Justin T. Ridge, Antonio B. Rodriguez, Niels L. Lindquist, Michelle C. Brodeur, Sara E. Coleman, Jonathan H. Grabowski¹, Ethan J. Theuerkauf, F. Joel Fodrie Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, NC ¹Marine Science Center, Northeastern University, Nahant, MA

Objectives

Study Area

Considering aerial exposure and landscape, we wanted to identify:

1) a minimum or critical exposure depth, below which productivity is low or non-existent and reefs will not accrete fast enough to keep up with SLR 2) an optimal exposure depth where productivity is highest

3) a maximum exposure depth or ceiling, above which desiccation and temperature stress is too high for oysters to live



Figure 1. Conceptual oyster fitness model

Methods





Middle Marsh, Back Sound, North Carolina

Oyster Density

Jackhammer Coring

Sandflat Reef

Laser Scanning

Saltmarsh Reef

Results

Oyster Density (Fig. 2A)

- Sandflat reefs exhibited a strong, positive linear dependence on aerial exposure time
- No relationship with saltmarsh reefs

Reef Cores (Fig. 2B) - Growth

- Strong, parabolic relationship between reef height and aerial exposure on sandflat reefs
- Negative linear relationship on saltmarsh reefs Laser Scan Data
 - Reefs exhibit different morphologies based on landscape (Fig. 3A)
 - Parabolic growth curve with aerial exposure on sandflat reefs (Fig. 3B)







Figure 3. Oyster reef profiles by landscape and reef growth by % aerial exposure captured through laser scanning. Optimal Growth Zone (OGZ) is shaded on reef scans.

Figure 2. Oyster densities and reef heights by aerial exposure

Conclusions

- Critical Exposure Depth exists at 10% aerial exposure
 - Minimum depth for the surface of reef material
- Optimal Growth Zone (OGZ) identified at the 30-40% aerial exposure on sandflat reefs
- Reef Growth Ceiling occurs at 55% aerial exposure
- Saltmarsh reefs need further study

Acknowledgments

We thank the Rachel Carson National Estuarine Research Reserve. This research was supported by funding from North Carolina Sea Grant (2012-R/12-HCE-6) and North Carolina Marine Resources Fund (CRFL; 2012H005 / 4487).



www.ncseagrant.org



