Plastic pollution globally taints land, air, and water. North Carolina is ramping up efforts to better understand and tackle the problem in aquatic ecosystems.
When Durham-based artists Jaclyn Bowie, Nysa Collins, and Anna Wagner set out to create an outdoor sculpture made of rubbish at Walnut Creek Wetland Park, they wanted their subject to resonate with the local community. The urban nature center, located a short drive from downtown Raleigh, is popular with school groups, families, and other visitors.

“Would it be something that many people of many different ages and backgrounds could connect to?” Bowie recalls wondering.

Inspiration struck when the trio was collecting trash for their piece from Walnut Creek, part of the Neuse River watershed. Inside a waterlogged tire, they spied a small catfish. Intrigued, they later researched catfish and came across the Carolina madtom (*Noturus furiosus*), a diminutive freshwater species native to the Tar and Neuse river basins.

The fish has nearly disappeared from the latter because of habitat loss and water quality degradation, among other problems, and the N.C. Endangered Species Act considers it “threatened.”

In that 5-inch fish the artists found their muse — only their sculptural rendition is larger-than-life and composed almost entirely of litter they gathered from local waterways and diverted from dumpsters. Stretching 20 feet and weighing an estimated 800 pounds, the finished piece is studded to the gills with sandy discards such as a car bumper (it adds shape to the frame), DVDs they’m arranged to the eyes), a hubcap, and plastic bottles.

“It puts art supplies in a new light,” Wagner says.

The formidable fish out of water is also a stark symbol of how much refuse can be found in river systems. As conduits to the sea, rivers are prime channels for marine debris, a catchall term to describe solid, manufactured, or processed material that ends up in the marine environment.

“A lot of times we throw things away and think that they don’t exist anymore,” Collins says. Their sculpture is a reminder that “the things that you discard are still around, and we need to reckon with them.”

**WADING THROUGH**

Plastic pollution is a global environmental threat.

“Plastic has been found in fresh and salt water, sediment like sandy beaches and soils, and in our food,” says Sarah Lathlaw, Southeast regional coordinator for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Marine Debris Program.

Last September, researchers reporting in *Science* estimated that in 2016, 21 to 25 million metric tons, or 1%, of plastic waste generated globally entered aquatic ecosystems, including freshwater and marine environments. The team predicted that annual emissions could reach up to 55 million metric tons per year by 2020, despite ambitious global reduction efforts.

When it comes to marine debris, plastic forms the majority of pollution. Usually between 60 and 90% of the trash that gathers on shorelines, the sea surface, and the sea floor is made up of one or more plastic polymers.

Plastic litter occurs in an array of shapes and sizes, from dozens of feet long to practically invisible to the naked eye. The timer pieces, known as microplastics, occur through two means. Either they are purposely manufactured — one example is plastic pellets, or nurdles, which are melted down to make other items — or they form when larger plastic pieces degrade or fragment. The breakdown of so-called macroplastics is the primary way that these miniscule pieces form.

Our understanding of the health and ecological impacts of plastic pollution ranges from well-documented to limited. Where macroplastics are concerned, the deleterious effects can be easy to see. For example, derelict fishing gear can entangle marine mammals, seabirds, and sea turtles, among other creatures. Marine debris might also contribute to the spread of invasive species by providing artificial habitats. Indeed, researchers have found species rafting on marine litter outside of their typical range.

In 2013, scientists described a rich microbial community that lives on plastic litter — the “plastisphere.” A recent paper noted that understanding how those microbes affect processes like plastic degradation and chemical cycling is “an important area to explore.” Meanwhile, macro- and microscopic ingestion has been documented in a host of organisms spanning the food chain. Filter feeders such as oysters are among the organisms most susceptible to microscopic ingestion. Lab experiments have found microscopic exposure to cause reduced feeding, survival, and reproductive success in organisms such as muscles, oysters, copepods, and lugworms. However, such studies typically use particle sizes that are smaller, or concentrations that are greater, than those generally reported in the field.

“It’s the dose that makes the poison,” says Bart Koelmans, an environmental scientist who studies microplastics at Wageningen University in the Netherlands. For any chemical, “you cannot say it’s inherently safe or toxic.”

For now, experts like Koelmans generally consider the ecological risks of microplastics to be rare. However, if emissions remain the same or increase, he says, it’s not a matter of if, but rather when, adverse effects become widespread.

**PATHWAYS FOR PLASTIC**

Plastic marine debris comes from a variety of sources, both land-based and sea-based. On the water, ships lose cargo, garbage...
floats off boats, and fishing gear goes rogue, to name a few means of dispersal. Deliberate dumping occurs, too.

Globally, one of the largest contributors to marine debris is poor waste management on land. Trash and particles can escape at any point in the process due to inadequate procedures. Even seemingly proper waste disposal can go awry. For instance, materials that are placed in blue recyle bins, at your curb, could become marine debris on a windy day,” says Lisa Rider, executive director of Coastal Carolina Riverswatch.

Unfrilled plastic can eventually escape terra firma by sliding down storm drains, riding on wind, or washing into rivers — the routes are many.

In 2017, a study published in Nature Communications estimated that rivers alone potentially deposit more than 2 million metric tons of plastic waste into the ocean each year. A related paper, submitted for publication by Science Advances, found small urban rivers to be among the most polluting.

Here in North Carolina, no studies to date have quantified the amount of plastic in our coastal waters, or estimated how much is coming from rivers, according to Barbara Doll, North Carolina Sea Grant’s water protection and restoration specialist and a faculty member of NC State University’s Biological and Agricultural Engineering Department.

To that end, Doll, along with N.C. Sea Grant coastal resources and communities specialist Gloria Putnam and NC State University research associate Jack Kurki-Fox, are investigating the plastic that wends into coastal waters from inland sources.

With funding from NOAA’s Marine Debris Program and National Sea Grant Office, they are sampling what kinds of plastic, and how much, course through the Neuse River watershed, which drains an area of nearly 5,600 square miles to Pamlico Sound, North Carolina’s largest estuary.

The sampling sites cover a range of drainage areas and a variety of land use. While the upper watershed is highly developed, agricultural and forested land dominate the lower portion.

At 15 sites, the team is using a fine mesh net to gather samples of microplastics for later analysis in the lab by the nonprofit organization Plastic Ocean Project, based in Wilmington. At seven of those sites, the researchers are also gathering data on macromastics through visual assessments, as well as by physically collecting trash and taking stock of the haul.

“ ‘How much of this trash is coming from urban versus rural areas into our rivers?’” Doll says. “We hope to identify relationships between watershed characteristics and the type and amount of micro- and macroplastics you find.”

The study will end about a year from now, but the team already has some preliminary data. For example, during a recent visual assessment on a rainy day at Murfie Creek in northeast Raleigh, in under 30 minutes Putnam counted nearly 150 plastic containers — mostly drink bottles — floating downstream, among other litter.

“This method of counting floating plastic provides a low-cost tool to help us characterize how much and what kind of material is moving through our urban waterways to the Neuse River,” Putnam says.

Simply considering the Murfie Creek tally, it’s no wonder that artists Bowie, Collins, and Wagner had plenty of media to build their sculpture.

TAKING OUT THE TRASH

Battling the juggernaut of plastic pollution demands a multipronged approach.

“Plastic is complex, but society is even more complex, and there is no silver-bullet solution for the plastic problem,” says Koelmans of Wageningen University.

One important approach entails curbing plastic consumption. “By reducing waste at the source, or making purchases that reduce waste through reuse, you are working towards eliminating the possibility of consumer marine debris,” says Ruder of Coastal Carolina Riverswatch.

For its part, North Carolina is addressing the challenge of marine debris through state and regional efforts. For example, in 2019, representatives from agencies and organizations in Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina completed the Southeast Marine Debris Action Plan, an effort to improve coordination throughout the Southeast region over the next three years.

Meanwhile, in January 2020, the state unveiled the North Carolina Marine Debris Action Plan, which provides a framework for prevention and removal of marine debris along the coast. The leadership team included members of North Carolina Sea Grant, the North Carolina Coastal Federation, the North Carolina Coastal Reserve and National Estuarine Research Reserve, the North Carolina Marine Debris Symposium, and Coastal Carolina Riverswatch.

“The North Carolina Plan’s leadership team and stakeholders participated in the development of the Southeast Plan to ensure both plans complemented each other,” says NOAA’s Landau. “While the Southeast Plan is focused on broad regional topics to reduce marine debris within and across state borders, the North Carolina Plan is able to focus on specific state actions.”

Goals of the state plan include improving construction practices to reduce marine debris caused by storms and flooding, expanding volunteer cleansups, funding contractors...
An example of nosological debris collected by researchers from N.C. Sea Grant and NC State University’s Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering.

DOES THE MOON AFFECT OFFSHORE TOURNAMENT CATCH RATES?

Yes — and moon phase influences some gamefish more than others.

A few weeks ago, I captured this image of the moon during mid-afternoon. It reminded me that the phase of the moon can have an impact on anglers’ catch rates.

I dug into the archives to pull out this popular and still often-cited research study from 2007. It may be a little dated by now, but the fundamental scientific work and findings are still valid. Environmental signals can and do alter the behavior of wildlife, including fish. Perhaps the most consistent environmental signal is the lunar calendar (new moon to new moon, 29.5 days). But, moon phase is also an indicator of the lunar phase.

The research focused on commercial fisheries. Compared to marine recreational fisheries, large quantities of high-quality angler catch records exist — as part of almost every highly regulated and often financially incentivized offshore sportfishing tournament.

What did they study?

Scientists collected anglers’ “catch-per-unit-effort records” from 145 offshore fishing tournaments in New South Wales, Australia, during a 9-year consecutive period. They separated their analyses by different types of tournaments (e.g., shark or marlin), given the differences in fishing practices, and cross-checked them with the moon phase.

Research Need

Competitive anglers will use any tool or information available to catch more fish — or, in the case of offshore fishing tournaments, to catch more fish than the next boat catches.

Environmental signals can and do alter the behavior of wildlife, including fish. Perhaps the most consistent environmental signal is the lunar calendar (new moon to new moon, 29.5 days). But, moon phase is also an indicator of the lunar phase.

Furthermore, most of the research has focused on commercial fisheries. Compared to marine recreational fisheries, large quantities of high-quality angler catch records exist — as part of almost every highly regulated and often financially incentivized offshore sportfishing tournament.

But, fear not, recreational anglers. There is another venue where high-quality angler catch records exist — as part of almost every highly regulated and often financially incentivized offshore sportfishing tournament.

Continued...